

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,831	12/23/2001		Eckart Hettlage	12554/7	8160
26646	7590	01/20/2004		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON				LE, DANG D	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1.2				2834	***

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/890,831	HETTLAGE, ECKART			
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit	_		
	Dang D Le	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_		
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 12 as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's     Grant term adjustment. See 37 C     A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's     Grant 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).	Brief must be filed within the pe				
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		, appean			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below)			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		, see 1. 2 2 s. s. v.),			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	,	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:	ion(n):				
<ul><li>3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject</li><li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would</li></ul>		anarata, timaly filed amendment			
canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi <u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .	dered but does NOT place the			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.</li> </ol>	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13,14 and 16-25</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer					
10 T Other:	/ /	<del>-</del>			
PRIMARY EXAMINER	Same (1)	1/9/04			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is noted that references may be combined although none of them explicitly suggests combining one with the other. In re Nilssen, 7 USPQ2d 1500 (Fed. Cir. 1989). In addition, Sakamoto's and Ando's invention are both directed to the stepping motor. Sakamoto does not teach how to control the operation of the stepping motor. In other words, Sakamoto just shows the structure of the stepping motor without control circuits. In contrast, Ando shows one way to control the operation of the stepping motor without motor structure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the control circuit of Ando in the motor of Sakamoto.